APPENDIX T

Completed Questionnaires Pertaining to the Watershed Action Plan
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: Thomas Roth  Organization: Concerned Citizen & Chapter President of PLAC, Member PLTF

Mailing Address: 266 Hedgecwood Dr., Akron, OH 44319  Phone Number: 330-644-6200

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so that we can develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable manner? If no, please explain. YES

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If no, please explain. YES, BUT, HOW DO WE CONTROL NEW DEVELOPMENT ALONG STREAMS OR ESPECIALLY LAKES? NO ONE WANTS TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR "REQUIRING" ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDIES. SOMEONE HAS TO DRAW THE LINE AND SAY "NO" WHEN HSDS IS TOO CLOSE TO WATER. NO ONE DOES THIS NOW.

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing the watershed? If no, please explain. NEED PLAN TO EDUCATE LOCAL GOVT. PERSONS LIKE ZONING INSPECTORS, PLANNERS, MANAGERS, MAYORS

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If yes, please explain. NO

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be included in this plan? If yes, please explain. ADD E.O.G. G. TO 7.3 A ALSO ADD: HOW CAN WE ASSESS HOMESWEAS IN DISPOSING OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each action. If no, please explain. SEE QUESTIONS: REMEMBER THE BIG ELIZABETH PIPE (EP?) SMELL IN FRANKLIN?

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. SEE QUESTIONS: ALSO I THINK NEFCO HAS A DUTY TO MAKE STRONG RECOMMENDATIONS, NOT JUST THIS ACTION PLAN TO OEP, COUNTY/LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ABOUT NEW HOME DEVELOPMENT ALONG WATERWAYS, PRESCRIBED DISTANCES, HSDS MODIFICATIONS, AND REQUIRED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDIES. SET UP GUIDELINES/RECOMMENDATIONS.
8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples. Item 1.1.2. Who can help homeowners with funding: who can provide incentive to pump HSDS regularly? Offer a "20" debate!

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples.

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain. They're a good start, but get real! If I as a homeowner, wanted help to restore shoreline or my stream, do you think OPA, CWA, 305b, SWC, etc. would offer me funding or incentives?

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent? 7

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below.

Item 3.1.2.1. - Why four acres? Why not 1 acre?

Item 4.3.2.1.0.1. - Only one possible funding source but name one that has helped or even plans to help in P.L. watershed.

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320
Fax: (330)836-7703
Phone: (330)836-5731
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the
Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: Vince Mealy Organization: Homeowner - Springfield Twp.

Mailing Address: 3089 Northview Rd. Phone Number: 699-0475

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so that we can develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable manner? If no, please explain. YES - I especially like the Est. time frame.

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If no, please explain. YES

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing the watershed? If no, please explain. YES - the permit sys. for septic sys. is especially good - people need encouragement to keep these functioning properly.

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If yes, please explain. NO

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be included in this plan? If yes, please explain. NO - I believe it to be quite complete.

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each action. If no, please explain. YES

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. NO - looks very complete
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8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples. **No**

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. **Local Rotary, Kiwanis**

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain. **Yes**

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent? **8.5**

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below.

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320
Fax: (330)836-7703
Phone: (330)836-5731
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the
Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: Paul Moser Organization: ODNR Div. of Wildlife
Mailing Address: 112 Portage Lakes Dr. N. Akron Ohio 44319 Phone Number: 644-2293

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so that we can develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable manner? If no, please explain. Yes

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If no, please explain. Mostly - do we want to consider impacts/effects of growing zebra mussel population in P.L.

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing the watershed? If no, please explain. Yes

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If yes, please explain. No

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be included in this plan? If yes, please explain. See #2 above

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each action. If no, please explain. Mostly - I think we should clarify a couple: in Obj 15 P.75 add Div. Wildlife to ODNR, in Obj 4.1 add Div. Parks, Div. Wildlife to ODNR.

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. Yes: for Obj 4.3, add ODNR/Div. Wildlife to a, b, c, and for Obj 5.3, a, z, add ODNR/Div. Wildlife
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8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples. NO

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. NO

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain. NO. While number of documents distributed is easy to measure, it doesn't really tell us what's being done.

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent? 9.5

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below.

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320
Fax: (330)836-7703
Phone: (330)836-5731
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the
Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: Dave Ritter   Organization: Summit Swcd

Mailing Address: _______________________________ Phone Number: __________

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so that we can
develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate
sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable
   manner? If no, please explain.______________________________

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If
   no, please explain.______________________________

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing
   the watershed? If no, please explain.______________________________

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If
   yes, please explain.______________________________

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be
   included in this plan? If yes, please explain.______________________________

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each
   action. If no, please explain.______________________________

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on
   the action plan? If yes, please give examples.______________________________

-263-
8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples.

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples.

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain.

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent? 9

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below. No, Add a page at the end with all the acronyms spelled out.

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320
Fax: (330)836-7703
Phone: (330)836-5731
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the
Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: Andrew D. Chatterton Organization: City of Green, Planning Dept.

Mailing Address: 5383 Massillon Rd., PO Box 278 Phone Number: (330) 896-6614
Green, Ohio 44232-0278

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so that we can develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable manner? If no, please explain. YES

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If no, please explain. YES

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing the watershed? If no, please explain. YES

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If yes, please explain. NO

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be included in this plan? If yes, please explain. YES It might be useful to produce a series of maps indicating "what if" scenarios if pollution sources go unchecked. Mapping such as this may better illustrate the importance of this plan.

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each action. If no, please explain. YES

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. NO
8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples. **NO**

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. **NO**

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain. **YES**

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent? **8**

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below.

   This plan thoroughly covers the current water quality concerns of the Tuscarawas Watershed. The possible causes and sources of water quality deterioration have been comprehensively presented. In addition, the actions presented in this plan offer sensible and useful solutions to help prevent and deter water pollution.

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320
Fax: (330)836-7703
Phone: (330)836-5731
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: Mike Clark  Organization: P2AC

Mailing Address: Phone Number: 644-8683

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so that we can develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable manner? If no, please explain. Yes

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If no, please explain. Yes

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing the watershed? If no, please explain. Yes

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If yes, please explain. PRESSING FUNDING FOR SEWER EXTENSIONS ON LOCAL PROPERTY OWNERS

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be included in this plan? If yes, please explain. YES, EXPANDING HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION SITES

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each action. If no, please explain. No, see #4

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples.
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8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples.

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples.

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain.

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent?

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below.

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320
Fax: (330)836-7703
Phone: (330)836-5731
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the
Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: **Janet S. McGill**  Organization: **PLAC**

Mailing Address: **140 Clement Ave**  Phone Number: **645-6828**
**Akron, OH 44319**

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so we can develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable manner? If no, please explain. **Yes**

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If no, please explain. **Yes**

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing the watershed? If no, please explain. **Work together with Health Dept. To be more aggressive**

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If yes, please explain. **No**

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be included in this plan? If yes, please explain. **Door to Door survey of most recent Septic pump-out**

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each action? If no, please explain. **Yes**

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. **County Health Department is the "Local" Dept.**
8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples. NO

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. NO

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain. Yes

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent? 8

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below.

Would like to see aggressive door to door and foot contact with home owners and businesses (Contractor)
All in all a good report.
More pursuit of sewer availability for lake home owners
Possible Lakes Sewer System

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320
Fax: (330)836-7703
Phone: (330)836-5731
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the
Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: M. ARMOCIDA  Organization: STARK COUNTY SANITARY ENG'ER

Mailing Address: 90 BY 7906 GAITON 44705  Phone Number:    

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so that we can
develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate
sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable
   manner? If no, please explain. IN MOST CASES SOMEWHAT
   REPETITIVE IN SOME INSTANCES!

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If
   no, please explain.  YES

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing
   the watershed? If no, please explain. IN SOME CASES
   UNREALISTIC IN OTHERS!

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If
   yes, please explain.

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be
   included in this plan? If yes, please explain.

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each
   action. If no, please explain. IDENTIFIES COUNTY, ENGINEER
   IN A NUMBER OF PLACES SHOULD NOT BE COUNTY, SANITARY ENG'ER

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on
   the action plan? If yes, please give examples.
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NEFCO
8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples.

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples.

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain.

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent?

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below.

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320
Fax: (330)836-7703
Phone: (330)836-5731
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the
Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: Andy Bayham Organization: USDA NRCS

Mailing Address: 2311 Columbus Rd, Canton Phone Number: 4894476

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so that we can develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable manner? If no, please explain. Yes

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If no, please explain. Yes

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing the watershed? If no, please explain. Yes

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If yes, please explain. No

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be included in this plan? If yes, please explain. Not at this time

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each action. If no, please explain. Yes

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. No
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8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples. ____________

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. ____________

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain. ____________

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent? ____________

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below.

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320
Fax: (330)836-7703
Phone: (330)836-5731
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the
Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: Richard Wefel Organization: Portage SWCD

Mailing Address: 1970 ST Rt 88 Phone Number: 330-226
Revelna, OH 44266 4311

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so that we can
develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate
sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable
   manner? If no, please explain. Yes. Some area seem
to be repetitive. Can it be consolidated.

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If
   no, please explain. Yes

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing
   the watershed? If no, please explain. Yes

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If
   yes, please explain. The goals and objectives are
   OK.

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be
   included in this plan? If yes, please explain. Conservation Easements?

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each
   action. If no, please explain. That seems to be in
   order.

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on
   the action plan? If yes, please give examples. Can we get private sector in
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8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples. 

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples. 

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain. 

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent? 

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below. 

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320 
Fax: (330)836-7703 
Phone: (330)836-5731 
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net
Suggestions/Comments Regarding the
Upper Tuscarawas River Watershed Action Plan

Name: Gabriel  Organization: Summit Co HD

Mailing Address:  Phone Number: 923 4881

Please take a few minutes to respond to the following questions, so that we can
develop a more effective action plan. Use the reverse side of this sheet or a separate
sheet if you need more room.

1. Does the action plan present the information in an organized and understandable
   manner? If no, please explain.  

2. Does the action plan address present water quality concerns in the watershed? If
   no, please explain.  

3. Has the action plan developed feasible solutions to water quality problems facing
   the watershed? If no, please explain.  

4. Do you feel that any goals, objectives and/or actions listed are not appropriate? If
   yes, please explain.  

5. Can you think of any additional goals, objectives, and/or actions that should be
   included in this plan? If yes, please explain.  

6. Does the plan identify the appropriate suggested responsible parties for each
   action? If no, please explain.  

7. Can you think of any additional suggested responsible parties that are not listed on
   the action plan? If yes, please give examples.  

RECEIVED  

DEC 2 1998  

NEFCO
8. Do you think that any of the possible funding sources listed for each action are not appropriate? If yes, please give examples.

I'm not sure about funding sources for repair of H505's or SP05's.

9. Can you think of any additional possible funding sources that are not listed on the action plan? If yes, please give examples.

10. Do you feel that the expected improvements and evaluations are appropriate for each action? If no, please explain.

11. How would you rate this action plan on a scale of one to ten, with one being poor and ten being excellent? 8

12. If you have any additional comments regarding this plan, please write them below.

A lot of questions will be answered - at least we'll know where we stand - next year when the proposed 567-5 14515 rules will be in a more complete form.

Mail to: NEFCO, 969 Copley Road, Akron, OH 44320
Fax: (330)836-7703
Phone: (330)836-5731
E-mail any comments or suggestions to: nefco@worldnet.att.net

excuse the hurried nature of this - had a death in the family and am way behind in every thing - feel free to call me at the Health Dept. for any comments, advice etc.

Yours
Mr. Morse
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